

**To:** Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com[Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com]  
**Cc:** Burgo, Daniel[Burgo.Daniel@epa.gov]; Delgado, Eric[Delgado.Eric@epa.gov]; Nattis, Randy[Nattis.Randy@epa.gov]; Wenning, Stephanie[Wenning.Stephanie@epa.gov]; Schaefer, Joe[Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov]; Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com[Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com]  
**From:** McComb, Martin  
**Sent:** Sat 8/15/2015 9:05:36 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Update on Public Viewer.

I have begun my review however, in accordance with the NCP, I direct you to show all the water quality field measurements that we have available in the database even the ones that are associated with samples where the analytical data is not yet available to be displayed. I make this decision based on the difficulty associated with filtering out that data, the fact HQs has never asked to review this data and in the best interests of the public who have a right to know.

M

On Aug 15, 2015, at 1:06 AM, Latham (Polinko), Janine  
<Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com> wrote:

Update on the Public Viewer.

We have made updates to the public viewer.

Here is the url again:

<https://gis.eparm.net/GoldKingMine/>

Generic Credentials

user =   
pass =

**Nonresponsive**

We set up the logic for the analytical page and trend graph for only validated data. It became a little complicated with trying to filter out the Prelim data form R8.

We are in control of the combine that feeds this project so to lessen the chances of data getting in here that has

been published during the day and showing up on this viewer we will only combine this right now at night until there becomes a need to combine multiple times a day.

We have not yet finished the development on the Water Quality Crosstab and can comment out the link to it if its not ready by the time you want to go public. Wanted to give you a look at how it will be. The water quality parameters will be the fields on top and then every subsequent day will show up as a record. We are going to try to wrap it up in the AM **but have a question for the group, is it ok to show all the water quality measurements even the ones that do not have validated data associated to it or do you only want to show those water quality measurements for those samples taken that have validated data.**

We also are still doing a qa to make sure our logic has worked to only show those locations that have validated data provided to HQ showing. We need a little time in the AM to complete this QA and troubleshoot. **I will let you know when we finish this QA in the AM. This should not go public until this is complete.**

I wanted to pass this information to you so you can start looking at it and provide comment and we can address everything at once.

Janine

**From:** Burgo, Daniel [<mailto:Burgo.Daniel@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 14, 2015 7:21 PM  
**To:** McComb, Martin <[McComb.Martin@epa.gov](mailto:McComb.Martin@epa.gov)>; Delgado, Eric <[Delgado.Eric@epa.gov](mailto:Delgado.Eric@epa.gov)>; Nattis, Randy <[Nattis.Randy@epa.gov](mailto:Nattis.Randy@epa.gov)>; Wenning, Stephanie <[Wenning.Stephanie@epa.gov](mailto:Wenning.Stephanie@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Latham (Polinko), Janine <[Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com](mailto:Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Earlier phone call

Guys and Gal,

I had a quick phone call with Janine and wanted to pass on some information to the group.

Tomorrow morning the R6, 8, and 9 Sample locations and information will all look the same and be displayed the same. We will get a copy it tomorrow morning to review before it goes public. We are hoping that we can all be on the same page before it goes public.

It will only have locations and data displayed that have been submitted to HQ in the three links – trend graphs, analytical link, and water quality. They will massage/duct tape the data on the back end to make it look as consistent as possible. They have just started looking at all three regions together to see where we are a little different.

What will look different are the extraneous data layers like river miles or any data layers not related to what is coming out of scribe.

GET SOME REST JANINE!

Thanks

Burgo

**From:** Burgo, Daniel [<mailto:Burgo.Daniel@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 14, 2015 6:31 PM  
**To:** Latham (Polinko), Janine <[Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com](mailto:Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com)>  
**Subject:** Earlier phone call

I know you said you wanted a messaging going out to the group. I'm guessing you're busy and was hoping you could add in some detail language. I will reword the email so it appears to be based on a call and you not using me like a puppet ☺

Some of the key points to share are:

The public viewer will be able to publish by tomorrow morning

The data from r6/r9 doesn't contain the same detail as r8 so it will need some massaging

You will be getting with everyone that is publishing to get them on the same page

And most importantly leave you the hell alone.

OSC Dan Burgo  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
5 Post Office Square  
Suite 100 (OSRR02-2)  
Boston, MA 02109

(617) 918-1052 Desk  
(617) 918-0052 Fax

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.